arXiv:gr-qc/9504001v2 13 Apr 1995

DFTT 21/95
gr-qc/9504001
March 1995

$\Lambda \to 0$ limit of 2+1 Quantum Gravity for arbitrary genus

J.E.Nelson
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università degli Studi di Torino
Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125,Torino, Italy
email: nelson@to.infn.it, telefax 039-11-6707214

T.Regge
Dipartimento di Fisica del Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129,Torino, Italy
email: regge@to.infn.it, telefax 039-11-5647399

Abstract

The abstract quantum algebra of observables for 2+1 gravity is analysed in the limit of small cosmological constant. The algebra splits into two sets with an explicit phase space representation; one set consists of $6g-6$ *commuting* elements which form a basis for an algebraic manifold defined by the trace and rank identities; the other set consists of $6g-6$ tangent vectors to this manifold. The action of the quantum mapping class group leaves the algebra and algebraic manifold invariant. The previously presented representation for $g=2$ is analysed in this limit and reduced to a very simple form. The symplectic form for $g=2$ is computed.
P.A.C.S. 04.60

1. Introduction.

For some time we have been analysing the abstract quantum commutator algebra for $2+1$ gravity with negative cosmological constant Λ [1,2]:

$$[a_{mk}, a_{jl}] \;=\; [a_{mj}, a_{kl}] \;=\; 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$[a_{jk}, a_{km}] \;=\; \Big(\frac{1}{K} - 1\Big)(a_{jm} - a_{jk}a_{km}) \tag{1.2}$$

$$[a_{jk}, a_{kl}] \;=\; \Big(1 - \frac{1}{K}\Big)(a_{jl} - a_{kl}a_{jk}) \tag{1.3}$$

$$[a_{jk}, a_{lm}] \;=\; \Big(K - \frac{1}{K}\Big)(a_{jl}a_{km} - a_{kl}a_{jm}) \tag{1.4}$$

where $K \;=\; e^{-2i\theta}, \tan\theta \;=\; -\frac{\hbar}{8\alpha}, \Lambda \;=\; -\frac{1}{\alpha^2}$ is the cosmological constant and $\hbar$ is Planck's constant. In (1.1-4) $m, j, l, k.$ are 4 anticlockwise points of a $n - gon$ (see [1]) labelled anticlockwise $m, j, l, k \;=\; 1 \cdots n$, and the time independent quantum operators a_{lk} correspond to the classical $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ gauge invariant trace elements

$$\alpha_{ij} \;=\; \alpha_{ji} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(S(t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_{j-1})\Big)\ ,\ S \in SL(2,R) \tag{1.5}$$

The map $S \;:\; \pi_1(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{S} SL(2,R)$ is defined by the integrated anti-De Sitter connection in the initial data Riemann surface Σ of genus g when $n \;=\; 2g+2$, and refers to one of the two spinor components, say the upper component, of the spinor group $SL(2,R) \otimes SL(2,R)$ of the gauge group $SO(2,2)$ of $2+1$ gravity with negative cosmological constant [2]. The lower component yields an independent algebra of traces b_{ij} identical to (1.1-4) but with $K \to 1/K$. Moreover $[a_{ij}, b_{kl}] \;=\; 0 \;\forall\; i, j, k, l.$

The algebra (1.1-4) is invariant under the quantum action of the mapping class group on traces [1], provided that the operators in (1.1-4) are ordered with the convention that $d(a_{ij})$ is increasing from left to right where $d(a_{ij}) \;=\; \frac{(i-1)(2n-2-i)}{2} + j - 1$.

In previous articles we only considered the upper component, which we now summarise. The homotopy group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ of the surface is defined [1] by generators

$t_i,\ i\ =\ 1\cdots 2g+2$ and presentation:

$$t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{2g+1}\ =\ 1\ ,\ t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{2g+2}\ =\ 1, t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{2g+2}\ =\ 1 \tag{1.6}$$

The last relator in (1.6) implies that Σ is closed.

The algebra (1.1-4) seems overprescribed since the number of elements a_{ij} is $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\ =\ (g+1)(2g+1)$. In [3] we determined for $n\ \leq\ 6$, i.e. $g\ \leq\ 2$ a set of p linearly independent central elements $A_{nm},\ m\ =\ 1\cdots p$ where $n\ =\ 2p$ or $n\ =\ 2p+1$, and analysed the trace identities which follow from the presentation (1.6) of the homotopy group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and a set of rank identities. These identities together generate a two-sided ideal, from which it was deduced that for generic g there are precisely $6g-6$ independent elements for each $(\pm)$ algebra. The reduction from $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\ =\ (g+1)(2g+1)$ to $6g-6$ results from the use of the above mentioned identities but is highly non unique. In [4] this was implemented explicitly for $g=2$ reducing the number of independent variables for the $(+)$ algebra from 15 to 6, and the representations discussed.

In this paper we analyse this quantum theory (the traces a_{ij} and the commutator algebra (1.1-4)) in the limit of small cosmological constant through an expansion around $\sqrt{-\Lambda}=0$, for arbitrary genus g. Note that the theory was first formulated for $\Lambda=0$ [5], and then generalised. In [6] similar results were obtained for $g=1$ by first taking the limit of the classical (Poisson bracket) algebra and then quantising. Only traces corresponding to paths with intersections $0,\pm 1$ (eq.1.1-3) were considered. The limit as $\Lambda\ \rightarrow\ 0$ of the exact classical solution for $g\ =\ 1$ was also studied in [7]. Here we show that for arbitrary genus g the two sets (the a_{ij} and b_{ij} or the $\pm$) of $6g-6$ variables split into another two $6g-6$ sets with an explicit phase space representation. One of these consists of $6g-6$ *commuting* elements which form a basis for an algebraic manifold defined by the trace and rank identities, the other set consists of $6g-6$ tangent vectors. These and the action of the quantum mapping class group which leaves the algebra and algebraic manifold invariant are presented in Section 2. A representation is presented in Section 3. In Section 4 the previously presented representation for $g = 2$ is analysed in this limit and reduced to a very simple form, and the symplectic form for $g = 2$ is computed.

2. Separation of variables for small Λ

To discuss the limit of small cosmological constant it is necessary, as for g=1 [6,7], to consider simultaneously the upper and lower spinor components. From now on denote a_{ik} by ${a^+}_{ik}$ and b_{ik} by ${a^-}_{ik}$ and set

$$ {a^{\pm}}_{ij}(\theta) = s_{ij} \pm \theta\ t_{ij} + O(\theta^2) \tag{2.1} $$

with $s_{ij} = s_{ji},\ t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ independent of θ and $s_{ii} = 1, t_{ii} = 0$. The expression (2.1) therefore corresponds to an expansion of the a_{ij} to $O(\Lambda)$ around $\Lambda = 0,\ K = 1,\ \theta = 0$. The algebra of these variables can be calculated directly from (1.1-4) or by noting that they can be expressed as

$$ {a^{\pm}}_{ij}(0) = s_{ij}, \quad \frac{d{a^{\pm}}_{ij}}{d\theta}(0) = \pm\ t_{ij} \tag{2.2} $$

By repeated differentiation with respect to θ in the limit $\theta \to 0$ it follows from (1.1-4) that all the s_{ij} commute amongst themselves whereas the s and t variables satisfy the commutators

$$ [s_{jk}, t_{km}] = -[s_{km}, t_{jk}] = i\ (s_{mj} - s_{jk}s_{km}) \tag{2.3} $$

$$ \begin{aligned} [t_{mj}, t_{jl}] &= i\ (t_{ml} - t_{jl}s_{mj} - t_{mj}s_{jl}) \\ &= i\ (t_{ml} - s_{mj}t_{jl} - s_{jl}t_{mj}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4} $$

$$ [s_{ml}, t_{jk}] = -[s_{jk}, t_{ml}] = 2i\ (s_{jl}s_{mk} - s_{mj}s_{kl}) \tag{2.5} $$

$$ [t_{ml}, t_{jk}] = 2i\ (s_{jl}t_{mk} - s_{mj}t_{kl} - s_{kl}t_{mj} + s_{mk}t_{jl}) \tag{2.6} $$

with all other commutators zero. As for the algebra (1.1-4), m,j,l,k are any 4 anti-clockwise points (e.g.1,2,3,4 or $2g+2$,1,4,5 etc) of the polygon representation (see [1]). Some comments are in order.

The first two commutators (2.3-4) correspond to paths on Σ with single intersections, already reported in [5] *. The last two commutators (2.5-6) correspond to paths on Σ with double intersections, which could be determined from the Jacobi identity which is satisfied for all triples. The first equalities of (2.3) and (2.5) follow from

$$[a^+{}_{jk}, a^-{}_{km}] = [a^+{}_{ml}, a^-{}_{jk}] = 0$$

whereas the commutators (2.4) and (2.6) between two t's can be deduced from the Jacobi identity on a (t,t,s) triple.

The commuting s variables satisfy the same trace and rank identities as the a_{ij} variables [3] with $\theta = 0$. Given just one identity all others can be obtained by repeated commutation with the elements t_{ij} of the algebra (2.3-6), or equivalently, by repeated application of

$$[t_{uv}, s_{jk}] \, \frac{\partial I(s)}{\partial s_{uv}}$$

(to be summed over u, v). The set of commuting s variables can be used as a basis for the algebraic manifold defined by $I(s) = 0$.

---

* The commutators (2.3-4) were first computed for $g = 1$ in [5]. Equations (2.3-4) here correspond to equations (5.4-5) of [5] with the following identifications; the variables q, ν of [5] correspond here to s, t respectively and the labelling of the paths jk, km (or mj, jl) on Σ to u, v. To get precisely equations (2.3-4) it is necessary to use the $SL(2,R)$ identities

$$\nu(uv^{-1}) + \nu(uv) = 2(q(v)\nu(u) + q(u)\nu(v)), \qquad q(uv^{-1}) + q(uv) = 2q(u)q(v).$$

Similar identities for the t variables are obtained from the s identities $I(s)$ as

$$I(t) = \frac{\partial I(s)}{\partial s_{uv}} t_{uv}$$

(again, to be summed over all u, v). It follows that the number of t identities $I(t)$ is equal to the number of s identities $I(s)$ so that there are equal numbers of independent s and t variables. These identities are certainly not all independent. In fact they form an ideal under commutation.

Under the action of the quantum Dehn group with elements $D_{ij} = D_{ji}, i, j = 1 \cdots 2g + 2$ the s and t variables transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ml} : t_{ml} \rightarrow t\prime_{ml} &= t_{ml} \\
t_{kl} \rightarrow t\prime_{kl} &= t_{mk} \\
t_{mj} \rightarrow t\prime_{mj} &= t_{jl} \\
t_{mk} \rightarrow t\prime_{mk} &= 2(s_{mk}t_{ml} + s_{ml}t_{mk}) - t_{lk} \\
t_{jl} \rightarrow t\prime_{jl} &= 2(s_{jl}t_{ml} + s_{ml}t_{jl}) - t_{mj} \\
t_{jk} \rightarrow t\prime_{jk} &= -2(s_{mj}t_{mk} + s_{mk}t_{mj} + s_{jl}t_{kl} + s_{kl}t_{jl}) \\
&\quad + 4(s_{ml}s_{mk}t_{jl} + s_{ml}s_{jl}t_{mk} + s_{jl}s_{mk}t_{ml}) + t_{jk} \\
s_{ml} \rightarrow s\prime_{ml} &= s_{ml} \\
s_{kl} \rightarrow s\prime_{kl} &= s_{mk} \\
s_{mj} \rightarrow s\prime_{mj} &= s_{jl} \\
s_{mk} \rightarrow s\prime_{mk} &= 2s_{ml}s_{mk} - s_{kl} \\
s_{jl} \rightarrow s\prime_{jl} &= 2s_{ml}s_{jl} - s_{mj} \\
s_{jk} \rightarrow s\prime_{jk} &= -2(s_{mj}s_{mk} + s_{kl}s_{jl}) + 4s_{ml}s_{jl}s_{mk} + s_{jk}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and leave the algebra (2.3-6) and the ideal of s and t identities invariant. The transformations (2.7) can be simplified by noting that

$$t\prime_{pq} \;=\; \frac{\partial s\prime_{pq}}{\partial s_{uv}} t_{uv} \qquad (sum\ over\ u, v)$$

for generic indices (points of the polygon) p, q, u, v. The D_{ml} as expressed in (2.7) satisfy the identities of the Braid group $B(2g+2)$ [8,9].

3. Representations.

The algebra (2.3-6) admits the following representation;

Let the set of the basis s_{ij} variables act as configuration space variables by multiplication, and let the t_{ij} variables act by differentiation

$$t_{ij} = C_{ij,kl}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{kl}} \qquad (sum\ over\ k, l) \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$C_{ij,kl}(s) \;=\; [t_{ij}, s_{kl}] \;=\; -\, C_{kl,ij}(s)$$

is at most quadratic in s. Therefore the t_{ij} can be considered as tangent vectors to the algebraic manifold with basis s_{ij}.

4. Representation for g = 2.

For $g = 2$, each ($\pm$) algebra (1.1-4) consists of 15 elements $a^{\pm}_{ij}$ but by use of computer algebra we were able [4] to reduce to $6 = 6g-6$ independent variables for each ($\pm$) as follows, by satisfying all the trace and rank identities. A convenient choice for the 6 independent elements is given by 6 commuting angles $\varphi^{\pm}_{-b} = -\varphi^{\pm}_{b}$, $b = \pm 1 \cdots \pm 3$ with

$$\varphi^{+}_{a}(K) = \varphi^{-}_{a}(\frac{1}{K})$$

and

$$a^{\pm}_{12} \;=\; \frac{\cos\varphi^{\pm}_{1}}{\cos\theta}, \qquad a^{\pm}_{34} \;=\; \frac{\cos\varphi^{\pm}_{2}}{\cos\theta} \qquad a^{\pm}_{56} \;=\; \frac{\cos\varphi^{\pm}_{3}}{\cos\theta} \tag{4.1}$$

with corresponding commuting momenta $\pi_a^{\pm}, a = 1, 2, 3$ with

$$\pi_a^+(K) = \pi_a^-(\frac{1}{K})$$

and the only non-zero commutators

$$[\varphi_a^{\pm}, \pi_b^{\pm}] \; = \; \pm 2i\theta\delta_{ab}, \;\; a, b, \; = \; 1, 2, 3 \tag{4.2}$$

In the following the single indices 1,2,3 refer to the three commuting sectors 12, 34, 56 whereas the double indices refer to two points of the hexagon.

It can be checked that the 24 remaining $a_{ik}^{\pm}$ can be expressed in terms of the $\varphi_a^{\pm}$ and their conjugate momenta $\pi_a^{\pm}$. For example the quantum, ordered operator a_{23}^+ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{23}^+ \; = \; & \cos\pi_1^+ \cos\pi_2^+ \\ & + \big(\cot\varphi_1^+ \cot\varphi_2^+ - \frac{\cos\varphi_3^+}{\cos\theta \sin\varphi_1^+ \sin\varphi_2^+}\big) \sin\pi_1^+ \sin\pi_2^+ \\ & - i\tan\theta \left(\cot\varphi_2^+ \cos\pi_1^+ \sin\pi_2^+ + \cot\varphi_1^+ \cos\pi_2^+ \sin\pi_1^+\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

so that *all* the 30 $a_{ik}^{\pm}$ are functions of $K \; = \; e^{-2i\theta}$, and the six conjugate pairs $\varphi_a^{\pm}, \pi_a^{\pm}, a = 1, 2, 3$. In [4] it was shown that the requirement that this representation of all the $a_{ik}^{\pm}$ by hermitian operators determines the norm in the Hilbert space spanned by the $\cos\varphi_a$, and restricts the range of the φ_a. There is some evidence [10-11] that thr trace holonomies (4.1) should be unbounded (hyperbolic) in agreement with the partial results of [4].

In the limit $\Lambda \to 0$ the relationship of these variables to those of Section 1 is as follows. Let

$$\varphi_a^{\pm} = Q_a \mp \theta\; p_a, \qquad \pi_b^{\pm} = q_b \pm \theta\; P_b \tag{4.4}$$

where all Q_a, q_a, P_b and p_b are independent of θ * , given by

$$Q_a = \varphi_a^+(0) = \varphi_a^-(0), \qquad p_a = -\frac{\partial \varphi_a^+}{\partial \theta}(0) = \frac{\partial \varphi_a^-}{\partial \theta}(0) \tag{4.5}$$

Their conjugate variables are, respectively,

$$P_b = \frac{\partial \pi_b^+}{\partial \theta}(0) = -\frac{\partial \pi_b^-}{\partial \theta}(0) \qquad q_b = \pi_b^+(0) = \pi_b^-(0) \tag{4.6}$$

with the only non-zero commutators following from (4.2)

$$[P_a, Q_b] = [p_b, q_a] = -i\delta_{ab} \tag{4.7}$$

so that from (4.1) we have directly the six independent variables**

$$s_{12} \;=\; \cos Q_1, \qquad s_{34} \;=\; \cos Q_2 \qquad s_{56} \;=\; \cos Q_3 \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$t_{12} \;= p_1 \sin Q_1, \qquad t_{34} \;= p_2 \sin Q_2, \qquad t_{56} \;= p_3 \sin Q_3 \tag{4.9}$$

All of the commuting s_{ij} variables are expressed in terms of the q_a and Q_a, the notation has obviously been chosen because of its suggestive nature e.g. s_{23} is, from (4.3) given by

$$s_{23} = \cos q_1 \cos q_2 + T_{12,3} \sin q_1 \sin q_2 \tag{4.10}$$

---

* A similar phenomenon occurs for $g = 1$ [7]. Here the combinations $Q_a = \frac{\varphi_a^+ + \varphi_a^-}{2}$, $p_a = \frac{\varphi_a^- - \varphi_a^+}{2\theta}$, $q_a = \frac{\pi_a^+ + \pi_a^-}{2}$ and $P_a = \frac{\pi_a^+ - \pi_a^-}{2\theta}$ remain finite and constant in the limit $\theta \to 0$.

** Three of the six independent variables of [12] for $\Lambda = 0$ and $g = 2$ should be compared with (4.8). These correspond, in our notation, to the traces of the representation of elements 16, 25 and 34 of the hexagon (see [4] for details). They can be obtained from our 12, 34, and 56 variables by the Dehn transformation D_{15} (eq.(2.7)).

where

$$T_{ij,k} = \cot Q_i \cot Q_j - \frac{\cos Q_k}{\sin Q_i \sin Q_j} \qquad i, j, k \textit{ cyclical}.$$

Note that *all* of the s_{ij} can be expressed in this form, e.g. from (4.8)

$$s_{12} = \cos Q_1 = \cos Q_2 \cos Q_3 - T_{23,1} \sin Q_2 \sin Q_3$$

and

$$s_{13} = \cos(q_1 + Q_1) \cos q_2 + T_{12,3} \sin(q_1 + Q_1) \sin q_2$$

$$s_{24} = \cos q_1 \cos(q_2 - Q_2) + T_{12,3} \sin q_1 \sin(q_2 - Q_2)$$

$$s_{14} = \cos(q_1 + Q_1) \cos(q_2 - Q_2) + T_{12,3} \sin(q_1 + Q_1) \sin(q_2 - Q_2)$$

$$s_{25} = \cos(q_1 - Q_1) \cos(q_3 + Q_3) + T_{31,2} \sin(q_1 - Q_1) \sin(q_3 + Q_3)$$

$$s_{36} = \cos(q_2 + Q_2) \cos(q_3 - Q_3) + T_{23,1} \sin(q_2 + Q_2) \sin(q_3 - Q_3)$$

These variables have striking familiarity and are clearly related to those presented in the Appendix of [13]. All of the remaining s_{ij} can be obtained from the above by cyclical rotation of the sector indices 1,2,3, which corresponds to a cyclical rotation of the hexagon by 2 points (e.g. s_{35} is obtained from s_{13} etc).

A general formula for the operators t_{ij} in terms of the operators Q_a, q_a, P_a, p_a, ordered with all momenta to the right and consistent with (3.1) is

$$t_{ij} = i \left(\frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial Q_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_a} - \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial q_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_a} \right) = \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial q_a} P_a - \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial Q_a} p_a \tag{4.11}$$

when the commutators (4.7) are represented by

$$p_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_a}, \qquad P_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_a} \tag{4.12}$$

One example is

$$\begin{aligned} t_{23} = {} & \\ & \left(\frac{T_{12,3} \cos q_1 \sin q_2}{\sin Q_1 \sin Q_2} - \cos q_2 \sin q_1 \right) P_1 + \left(\frac{T_{12,3} \cos q_2 \sin q_1}{\sin Q_1 \sin Q_2} - \cos q_1 \sin q_2 \right) P_2 \\ & - \frac{T_{13,2} \sin q_1 \sin q_2}{\sin^2 Q_1 \sin Q_2} p_1 - \frac{T_{23,1} \sin q_1 \sin q_2}{\sin Q_1 \sin^2 Q_2} p_2 - \frac{\sin q_1 \sin q_2 \sin Q_3}{\sin Q_1 \sin Q_2} p_3 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly (4.11) gives (4.9) for $ij = 12, 34, 56$, and

$$[t_{ij}, s_{ij}] = -i\Big(\frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial Q_a}\frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial q_a} - \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial q_a}\frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial Q_a}\Big) = 0$$

It can be checked that the algebra (2.3-6) and the identities $I(s)$ and $I(t)$ are satisfied by all 15 s_{ij} and all 15 t_{ij}. The identities $I(s)$ can all be derived from, for example

$$\begin{aligned} s_{12}s_{34} + s_{23}s_{14} - s_{13}s_{24} - s_{56} &= 0 \\ s_{12}s_{46} + s_{24}s_{16} - 2s_{34}s_{45} - s_{14}s_{26} + s_{35} &= 0 \\ 2(2s_{34}s_{56}s_{45} - s_{34}s_{46} - s_{56}s_{35}) & \\ +s_{14}s_{25} - s_{12}s_{45} - s_{24}s_{15} + s_{36} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and their images under cyclical permutations of the indices $1 \cdots 6$.

The symplectic volume form on the algebraic manifold defined by $I(s) = 0$ expressed as

$$dq_a \wedge dQ_a \tag{4.13}$$

can be simplified by noting that the t_{ij} can be identified with the differentials ds_{ij} as follows; from

$$dI(s) = \frac{\partial I(s)}{\partial s_{ij}}\, ds_{ij} = \frac{\partial I(s)}{\partial s_{ij}}\, t_{ij}$$

then (4.11) should be compared with

$$ds_{ij} = \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial q_a}dq_a + \frac{\partial s_{ij}}{\partial Q_a}dQ_a$$

and implies the identifications

$$dQ_a = -p_a \qquad or \qquad dq_a = P_a$$

so the symplectic form (4.13) can be written simply as

$$P_a \wedge dQ_a = p_a \wedge dq_a$$

The commuting q_a and $Q_a, a = 1, 2, 3$ form a basis for configuration space , whereas the commuting momentum (tangent space) variables p_a and P_a are given by (4.12).

The action of the mapping class group (2.7) for $g = 2$ has been computed explicitly only on the cosines of the variables q_a and Q_a, on the sines it is very complicated and not useful. A simple example however is given by the transformation $D_{2j-1,2j}, j = 1, 2, 3$, generated classically on $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ by

$$t_2 \to t_1\ t_2 \qquad t_6 \to t_6\ {t_1}^{-1}$$

and on the traces of holonomies by the map (canonical transformation) which leaves invariant the volume form (4.13)

$$D_{2j-1,2j}; q_j \to q_j + Q_j$$

with inverse

$$D^{-1}{}_{2j-1,2j}; q_j \to q_j - Q_j$$

and can be identified with a Dehn twist [14] provided the Q_a play the role of the length variables and the q_a are the angle variables for a given closed path on Σ. The full action of this group is under study and will be reported elsewhere.

References.

[1] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, Phys.Lett. **B272**,(1991)213.

[2] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, F.Zertuche, Nucl.Phys. **B339**,(1990)516: F. Zertuche, Ph.D.Thesis, SISSA (1990),unpublished.

[3] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, C.M.P.**155**,(1993)561.

[4] J.E. Nelson and T.Regge, Phys.Rev. **D50**,(1994)5125.

[5] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, Nucl.Phys. **B328**,(1989)190.

[6] L.F.Urrutia and F.Zertuche, Class.Qu.Grav.**9**,(1992)641.

[7] S.Carlip and J.E.Nelson, Phys.Lett. **B324**,(1994)299; gr-qc/94110312, to appear Phys.Rev. **D**

[8] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, C.M.P.**141**,(1991)211.

[9] J.S.Birman, Comm.Pure.Appl.Math.**22**(1969)213.

[10] K.Ezawa, Osaka preprint OU-HET-183 (1993),hep-th/9311103

[11] J.Louko and D.Marolf, Class.Qu.Grav. **11** (1994)311.

[12] R.Loll, "Independent Loop invariants for 2+1 Gravity", gr-qc/9408007.

[13] A.Ashtekar and R.Loll, Class.Qu.Grav. **11** (1994)2417.

[14] M.Rasetti, in "Symmetries in Science III" (eds. B.Gruber and F.Iachello) Plenum 1989.